UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DUSHANNE ASHLEY,

Plaintiff,

VS

DETECTIVE MIKE CIVIL, shield # 2114,

Defendants.

14-CV-05559 (MMH)

JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER

Honorable MARCIA M. HENRY, District Magistrate Judge:

The undersigned attorneys affirm and declare that this Joint Pretrial Order is submitted in conformity with the Individual Motion Practices and Rules of United States Magistrate Judge Marcia M. Henry. Having conferred among themselves pursuant to Rule 16, Fed. R. Civ. P., the parties adopt the following statements, directions and agreements as the Pretrial Order, jointly, except as otherwise noted:

1. Caption

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DUSHANNE ASHLEY,

Plaintiff,

VS

DETECTIVE MIKE CIVIL, shield # 2114,

Defendants.

14-CV-05559 (MMH)

2. Parties and Counsel:

A. For Plaintiff:

RICKNER PLLC

Attorney for Plaintiff Dushane Ashley 14 Wall Street, Suite 1603 New York, New York 10005 By: David A. Zelman, Esq.

Tel: (212) 300-6506 rob@ricknerpllc.com

B. For Defendant:

HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendant Det. Mike Civil Brian Francolla, Esq. 100 Church Street, Room 3-142 New York, New York 10007

Tel: (212) 356-3527 bfrancol@law.nyc.gov

3. Jurisdiction:

Plaintiff:

The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 in that it involves a federal question.

Defendant:

Defendant does not contest subject matter jurisdiction.

4. Claims and Defenses:

Plaintiff's Claims

Plaintiff has a fabrication of evidence claim un der 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The elements of this claim are: "an (1) investigating official (2) fabricate[d] information (3) that is likely to influence a jury's verdict, (4) forward[ed] that information to prosecutors, and (5) the plaintiff suffer[red] a deprivation of life, liberty, or property as a result." Ashley v. City of New York, 992 F.3d 128, 139 (2d Cir. 2021) (quotation omitted).

Defendant's Defenses

Defendant denies that he fabricated evidence in connection with plaintiff's arrest and prosecution, that any such evidence was forwarded to prosecutors and that plaintiff suffered any cognizable

deprivation of life, liberty or property as a result of his claims. In addition, defendant is entitled to qualified immunity.

5. Damages:

Plaintiff claims damages for loss of liberty, psychological and emotional suffering, and infringement of constitutional rights. Plaintiff was damaged in an amount to be determined by a jury.

6. Jury or Bench Trial:

Jury trial. The parties estimate 2-3 days to present the case.

7. Stipulations:

None at this time.

8. Witnesses:

Plaintiff's Witnesses:¹

A. Plaintiff Dushane Ashley

Mr. Ashley is expected to testify as to his experience, detention, prosecution and injuries sustained as a result of the complained of incident and its aftermath.

B. Chad Dauman, Esq. Brooklyn Defender Services

Mr. Dauman is expected to testify with respect to his involvement in the criminal matter which is the subject of this litigation..

C. Sallica "Lisa" Williams, 145 East 18th St. Brooklyn, NY 11226

Ms. Williams is expected to testify with respect to her observations and experience on the date of the within incident and arrest and thereafter.

D. Records Custodian, The Brooklyn District Attorney's Office

Plaintiff will be calling a witness from the Brooklyn District Attorney's Office for the purposes of having the Early Case Assessment Bureau record admitted as a business record.

¹ Defendant reserves the right to call any witnesses listed by Plaintiff, and Plaintiff reserves the right to call any witnesses listed by Defendant.

Defendant's Witnesses:

1. Detective Mike Civil, Shield No. 2114, Narcotics Borough Brooklyn South, One Police Plaza, New York, NY 10038

Detective Civil is expected to testify about the facts and circumstances surrounding plaintiff's arrest.

2. Detective Jason Jones, Shield No. 6496, Narcotics Borough Brooklyn South, One Police Plaza, New York, NY 10038

Detective Jones is expected to testify about the facts and circumstances surrounding plaintiff's arrest

9. Deposition Testimony:

In the event that Chad Dauman, Esq. is not available for live testimony, Plaintiff will seek to have admitted his prior trial testimony in the place of live testimony.

In the event that Sallica Williams. is not available for live testimony, Plaintiff will seek to have admitted his prior trial testimony in the place of live testimony

The parties have not designated any deposition testimony to be offered at trial in lieu of live testimony. The parties reserve the right to use a deposition of a testifying witness for substantive or impeachment purposes, as authorized by Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence

10. Exhibits:

Plaintiff's Proposed Trial Exhibits²

Desig.	Description of Exhibit	Basis for Objection
P1	Certificate of Disposition dated	402 – relevance
	July 30, 2014	403 – prejudice, confusion
P2	Dismissal Minutes, July 15 and	402 – relevance

² Defendant is mindful that several of plaintiff's proposed trial exhibits were ultimately introduced as evidence during the original civil trial absent any objection from defense counsel (specifically P1, P2, P5 and P6). To the extent plaintiff seeks admission in a similar fashion at the re-trial – through a proper witness at an appropriate time and for a relevant purpose – defense counsel will likely maintain the same position. In that regard, to the extent defendant has any substantive objections to plaintiff's exhibits – regardless of how he may seek to introduce same – it will be addressed *in limine*.

	18, 2014 (subject to redaction of	403 – prejudice, confusion
	unrelated materials)	802 – hearsay
	uniterated materials)	901 – foundation
D2	Commission Desired Commission Classic	
P3	Complaint Room Screening Sheet	402 – relevance
	/ ECAB, 2 pages	403 – prejudice, confusion
		802 – hearsay
P4	Affidavit in Support of Search	402 – relevance
	Warrant signed by defendant Civil	403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
		of time
		802 - hearsay
P5	Criminal Court Complaint dated	403 – prejudice, confusion
	April 19, 2013	802 - hearsay
P6	Video taken on April 19, 2013	402 – relevance
		403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
		of time
		802 - hearsay
		901 - authentication
P7	Criminal Court of the City of New	402 – relevance
	York Record of Court Action and	403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
	Cover Sheet	of time
		802 - hearsay
		901 - authentication
P8	Photo of "Roscoe"	402 – relevance
		403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
		of time
		901 - authentication
L		,

Plaintiff's Potential Impeachment Materials

Desig.	Description of Exhibit	Basis for Objection
P9	Deposition Testimony of Mike	
	Civil dated November 4, 2015	
P10	Trial Testimony of Mike Civil	
	dated April 2, 2019	

* Plaintiff reserves the right to use any of defendant's exhibits.

Defendant's Proposed Trial Exhibits

Desig.	Description of Exhibit	Basis for Objection
D1	Superseding Criminal Court	
	Complaint, DEF000093	
D2	Memobook of Det. Civil –	
	DEF00016a-19a	
D3	Sprint Report 311 – DEF000314	402 – relevance
		403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
		of time
D4	Complaint Report –	402 – relevance
	DEF000297-000298	403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
		of time
D5	Vouchered Marijuana from April	402 – relevance
	19, 2013 Arrest	403 – prejudice, confusion, waste
		of time
		901 - authentication

Defendant's Potential Impeachment/Rebuttal Materials

Desig.	Description of Exhibit	Basis for Objection
D6	Civil Complaint in this Action,	
	dated September 22, 2014	
D7	Amended Civil Complaint in this	
	Action, dated April 13, 2015	
D8	Plaintiff's Deposition Transcript,	
	dated September 11, 2015	
D9	Plaintiff's Trial Testimony	
	Transcript, dated April 2, 2019	
D10	Plaintiff's Responses to	
	Defendants' First Set of	
	Interrogatories and Request for	
	Production of Documents, dated	
	May 28, 2015	
D11	Plaintiff's RAP Sheet,	403, 608, and 609 – improper
	DEF000344-000357	impeachment
D12	Tammy Harris' Deposition	403, 608, and 609 – improper
	Transcript, dated November 16,	impeachment
	2015	
D13	Tammy Harris' Trial Testimony,	403, 608, and 609 – improper
	dated April 1, 2019	impeachment
D14	Statement of	403, 608, and 609 – improper
	Allegations/Supporting	impeachment

	Deposition by Tammy Harris Pertaining to an Unrelated Incident between Her and Plaintiff, DEF000326	
D15	Orders of Protection Pertaining to an Unrelated Incident between Ms. Harris and Plaintiff, DEF000339-40	403, 608, and 609 – improper impeachment
D16	Sallica Williams' Trial Testimony, dated April 1, 2019	
D17	Property Clerk Invoices Pertaining to Plaintiff's April 19, 2013 Arrest, DEF000024-000027	403, 608, and 609 – improper impeachment
D18	Marijuana Filed Test Report Pertaining to Plaintiff's April 19, 2013 Arrest, DEF0000028	403, 608, and 609 – improper impeachment
D19	Lab Report Stemming from Plaintiff's April 19, 2013 Arrest, DEF000029-000030	403, 608, and 609 – improper impeachment
D20	Command Log Entry Pertaining to Plaintiff's April 19, 2013 Arrest, DEF000014-000015	403, 608, and 609 – improper impeachment

^{*} Defendant reserves the right to use any of plaintiff's exhibits.

11. Motions in Limine

Plaintiff's Potential Motions in Limine:

- A motion to exclude Plaintiff's criminal record for use in impeachment.
- A motion to preclude Defendant from inquiring as to Plaintiff's finances.
- A motion to preclude Defendant from implying that he will have to pay any award out of pocket.
- A motion to preclude Defendant from using a copy of his memobook; he must bring the original with the "fly sheet".

Defendant's Potential Motions in Limine:

- A motion to preclude Plaintiff from introducing specifics exhibits.
- A motion to preclude Plaintiff from inquiring into Defendant's disciplinary history or personnel files, prior lawsuits involving Defendant, and any disciplinary complaints or lawsuits against any non-party NYPD witness who may testify.
- A motion to preclude Plaintiff from pursuing certain lines of inquiry with his criminal defense attorney.

Dated: Kings, New York June 12, 2023

RICKNER PLLC

Attorney for Plaintiff Dushane Ashley
14 Wall Street, Suite 1603
New York, New York 10005

Tel: (212) 300-6506

By:	/s/ By:
ROB RICKNER	BRIAN FRANCOLLA
SO ORDERED:	
	MARCIA M. HENRY ES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX

100 Church Street, Room 3-142

New York, New York 10007

Tel: (212) 356-3527

Attorney for Defendant Det. Mike Civil

Corporation Counsel of the City of New York